Journal of Physics:
Conference Series

PURPOSE-LED PUBLISHING™

PAPER • **OPEN ACCESS**


Design of a discrete-time active disturbance rejection control strategy applied to the current loop of a boost bridgeless converter

To cite this article: F J Regino-Ubarnes *et al* 2020 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1704** 012014

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Applications of the Poisson and diffusion equations to materials science
C Nolasco, N J Jácome and N A Hurtado-Lugo
- Analysis of the biomass produced in the furniture factories of the municipality of Ocaña
J Arévalo, E E Espinel and M I Cabellos
- Mathematical model of the firing process in Ladrillera Ocaña, Colombia
C Nolasco, G Gurrero Gómez Jácome and J A Gómez Campero



This content was downloaded from IP address 8.243.64.131 on 21/10/2025 at 15:19

Journal of Physics: Conference Series **1704** (2020) 012014 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1704/1/012014

Design of a discrete-time active disturbance rejection control strategy applied to the current loop of a boost bridgeless converter

F J Regino-Ubarnes[1,2], **E E Espinel-Blanco**[1,3], **and J A Gómez-Camperos**[1,2]
[1] Departamento de Ingeniería Mecánica, Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Seccional Ocaña, Colombia
[2] Grupo de Investigación en Nuevas Tecnologías, Sostenibilidad e Innovación (GINSTI), Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Seccional Ocaña, Colombia
[3] Grupo de Investigación en Tecnología y Desarrollo en Ingenierias (GITYD), Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Seccional Ocaña, Colombia

E-mail: fjreginou@ufpso.edu.co, jagomezc@ufpso.edu.co

Abstract. This document describes the analysis of a control technique based on active disturbance rejection. This technique uses a generalized proportional integral observer in discrete time that estimates the disturbances inherent in the system for their subsequent elimination. The efficiency of the controller is verified by simulations of a Boost bridgeless single-phase power converter. The analysis was performed in the context of the reduction of the percentage root mean square error between the reference signal and the input current signal of the converter which results in the correction of the power factor and the reduction of the total harmonic distortion. The performance of the proposed control strategy was demonstrated since the tracking error was reduced even in the presence of disturbances.

1. Introduction

Power converters, also known as alternating current/direct current (AC/DC) converters, are used in power electronics applications, such as inverters, power supplies and others [1,2]. Most of these applications have a power factor correction (PFC) system to improve efficiency and achieve power quality standards [3]. Among the AC/DC converters for PFC applications, it is worth mentioning the Boost bridgeless topology, which consists of two Boost converters that work alternately [4]. This topology is presented as a resource to minimize conduction losses by reducing the number of semiconductor devices. However, the control of harmonics is still a research topic due to problems related to the presence of harmonics in the electrical network caused by switching effects.

Due to the different harmful effects caused by the harmonics, there are in the literature different investigations where the results of different techniques for the reduction of harmonics are compared, these investigations present results of control techniques like the integral proportional control (IP), the resonant control (RC), among others [5], these techniques present problems in their implementation, either by their poor results (IP control) or by their low effectiveness before the variation of the work frequency (RC). This document proposes a control strategy based on active disturbance rejection (ADRC) for the current loop of the bridgeless Boost converter. This technique uses a robust linear generalized proportional integral (GPI) controller for non-linear disturbance systems [5,6]. This strategy

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.
Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

estimates disturbances through a discrete observer called a generalized proportional integral observer (GPI observer). Disturbances (harmonic components of the fundamental frequency of the 60Hz network) and uncertainty are modelled as additive components, either external or plant-specific, and are estimated by the GPI observer, in a unified way [7,8]. The aim is to reduce the percentage of total harmonic distortion (THD) and bring the power factor (PF) into the unit by tracking a sinusoidal reference signal [9]. The document is organized as follows: section 2 presents the model of the Boost Bridgeless AC/DC converter. Section 3 presents the design of the GPI observer-based control in discrete time. Section 4 shows the MATLAB simulation of the discrete-time GPI observer-based control for the simplified averaged model of the AC/DC converter. Section five shows the results of the simulations of the proposed array, and section six presents some conclusions.

2. Circuit operation and analysis

The control strategy that was designed was implemented in a bridgeless AC/DC Boost converter whose schematic diagram is shown in Figure 1. This converter is composed of a pair of inductors (L1 and L2) of 707 µH each with their respective parasitic resistances (RL1 and RL2) of 0.5 Ω; two power diodes in the upper part (D1 and D2) and two Mosfets in the lower part (Q1 and Q2). The DC bus consists of the 660 µF capacitor (C), and a 32 Ω charge resistor (R). The converter is powered by AC voltage, which enters to the power factor correction stage. In this stage, there are two Boost converters that operate alternately in each half cycle of the line voltage [10].




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the bridgeless PFC boost.

Taking into account the current path, the analysis of the circuit is made using Kirchhoff's voltage law. The analysis takes the circuit as observed from points a and b, resulting in Equation (1).

$$v_f = L\frac{di_L}{dt} + R_L i_L + v_{ab}, \tag{1}$$

where v_f is the mains voltage $\left(v_f = V_f\sqrt{2}\sin(\omega_n t)\right)$, i_L is the current that passes through the coil, L is the equivalent inductance of the circuit, R_L is the equivalent circuit resistance equal to R_{L1}+R_{L2}, and v_{ab} is the voltage from the nodes of the resistors R_{L1} and R_{L2} of the circuit [11]. When $v_{f(t)}$ is positive, the average voltage v_{ab} is as shown by Equation (2).

$$\overline{v_{ab}} = (1 - D)(v_c + v_{D2}) - v_{Q2}D - v_{DQ1}, \tag{2}$$

when $v_{f(t)}$ is negative, the average voltage v_{ab} is as shown by Equation (3).

$$\overline{v_{ab}} = -(1 - D)(v_c + v_{D2}) - v_{Q2}D - v_{DQ1}, \tag{3}$$

where v_{D1} is the voltage of diode D1, v_c is the DC voltage of the output capacitor, v_{DQ2} is the voltage of the internal diode of the Mosfet Q2, v_{DQ1} is the voltage of the internal diode of the Mosfet Q1, v_{Q1} is the drain-to-source voltage of Mosfet Q1, v_{Q2} is the drain-to-source voltage of Mosfet Q2 [11]. In Equation (2) and Equation (3), D corresponds to the duty cycle of the PWM signal which takes values in a closed interval of [0,1]. By adding Equation (2) and Equation (3) you get the average value of Vab, by replacing it in equation 1 and clearing the derivative of the current you can say that the simplified average model of the plant is as shown by Equation (4).

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = -\frac{R_L}{L} i_L + \frac{v_f}{L} + \frac{\alpha}{L}, \tag{4}$$

where α is as shown by Equation (5).

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} (1-D)v_c;\ \text{semi} - \text{positive cycle} \\ -(1-D)v_c\ ;\text{semi} - \text{negative cycle} \end{cases}. \tag{5}$$

By applying the Laplace transform to Equation (4) and taking v_f as a disturbance, Equation (6) is obtained.

$$sI_L = -\frac{R_L}{L} I_L + \frac{\alpha}{L}. \tag{6}$$

By clearing I_L/α from Equation (6), the averaged-simplified model is obtained the Equation (7).

$$\frac{I_L}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{(Ls+R_L)}. \tag{7}$$

3. GPI observer-based controller

The proposed control strategy uses the simplified model of the system which is based on the ADRC method. As mentioned in section 1, the objective of the controller is to ensure that is as shown by Equation (8).

$$i_L^* = i_d \sin(\omega_n t), \tag{8}$$

where the current i_d is constant in a steady state. Based on Equation (4), it can be said that (see Equation (9)).

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = \mathbf{K}\alpha + \xi, \tag{9}$$

where **K** is $\frac{1}{L}$ and, Equation (10) is obtained.

$$\xi_1 = \frac{v_f}{L} - \frac{R_L}{L} i_L, \tag{10}$$

using Euler's method for derivative approximation, the following is obtained the Equation (11).

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} \approx \frac{i_L(k+1)}{Ts}. \tag{11}$$

The discrete representation of the system is given by the Equation (12).

$$\frac{i_L(k+1)-i_L(k)}{Ts} = Ku(k) + \xi_2(k), \tag{12}$$

with, the Equation (13).

$$\xi_2(k) = \xi_1(k) - \left[\frac{i_L(t)}{dt}\Big|_{t=kTs} - \frac{i_L(k+1)-i_L(k)}{Ts}\right]. \tag{13}$$

It is worth noting that $\xi_2(k)$ takes into account the discretization error of Euler's method. Equation (11) is rewritten in terms of the tracking error $e_y(k) = i_L(k) - i_L^*(k)$, as show the Equation (14).

$$\frac{e_y(k+1)-e_y(k)}{Ts} = Ku(k) + \xi(k). \tag{14}$$

With, the Equation (15).

$$\xi(k) = \xi_2(k) - \frac{i_L^*(k+1)-i_L^*(k)}{Ts}. \tag{15}$$

$\xi(k)$ can be taken as the additive disturbance function without considering any particular internal structure. What is sought is that, given a smooth reference path i_L^*, the tracking error e_y is brought to a proximity close to zero, regardless of the unknown but uniformly constrained nature of the disturbance function $\xi(k)$. The disturbance function $\xi(k)$ groups internal and external disturbances that affect system dynamics, Equation (12). For the system shown in equation 11, the following assumptions are made.

- The disturbance function $\xi(k)$ is unknown, while the control input gain, K, is fully known.
- The sampling period Ts is small enough to achieve accurate results when using, as a discretization method, the Euler's method.
- Be m a given integer. The successive differences of $\xi(k)$ are uniformly bounded in absolute values. In other words, there are constants k_j such that (see Equation (16)).

$$\sup_k \left|\left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right)^j \xi(k)\right| \leq k_j, \text{con } j = 0, 1, \dots, m, \tag{16}$$

where q is the forward operator; the first assumption is made to ensure independence of $\xi(k)$ from $u(k)$. The third assumption is used to establish the existence of a solution of the difference Equation (12). With respect to the simplified system [11], in order to propose a discrete GPI observer for a state-space representation and an estimate of the disturbance function, the approach uses the fact that the disturbance input, x (k), can be modeled approximately by the Equation (17).

$$\left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right)^m \xi(k) \approx 0\,, \tag{17}$$

where m is a large enough integer; the operator applied to the estimated disturbance input corresponds to a composite difference of order m. Equation (11) can be expressed in discrete time as show the Equation (18).

$$\left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right) i_L = Ku(k) + \xi(k). \tag{18}$$

From Equation (11), the following is obtained the Equation (19).

$$i_L(k+1) = i_L(k) + TsKu(k) + Ts\xi(k). \tag{19}$$

It is stated that the m-th derivative of the disturbance is zero, for m = 2 we have the Equation (20).

$$\left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right)^2 \xi(k) = 0. \tag{20}$$

It is then possible to carry out a state variable implementation of the system described in Equation (19), so that one of the states corresponds to the estimate of $\xi(k)$. Upon completion of this process, the system is represented as shown in Equation (21). In this last representation, it can be seen that the state variable $x_1(k) = i_L(k)$ corresponds to the output of the plant, $x_2(k) = \xi(k)$ corresponds to the plant disturbance, and $x_3(k) = \left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right)\xi(k)$ corresponds to the first derivative of the plant disturbance.

$$\begin{gathered} x_1(k) = i_L(k), \\ x_2(k) = \xi(k), \\ x_3(k) = x_2(k+1) - x_2(k), \\ \left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right) x_3(k) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

Now, the extended system as a function of the state variables is as shown by Equation (22).

$$\begin{gathered} x_1(k+1) = x_1(k) + Ts\, x_2(k) + Ts\, Ku(k)\, x_2(k) = \xi(k), \\ x_2(k+1) = 0 + x_2(k) + 0 + x_3(k) Ts \left(\frac{q-1}{Ts}\right) x_3(k) = 0, \\ x_3(k+1) = x_3(k). \end{gathered} \tag{22}$$

Resulting in the following system represented by Equation (23) and Equation (24).

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k), \tag{23}$$

$$y(k) = Cx(k), \tag{24}$$

Where A, B, and C are expressed by Equation (25).

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Ts & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & Ts \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(1+m)\times(1+m)};\ B = \begin{bmatrix} Ts\,K \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(1+m)\times 1};\ C = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(1+m)}. \tag{25}$$

The observer proposed is given by the Equation (26) and Equation (27).

$$\hat{x}(k+1) = A\hat{x}(k) + Bu(k) + L\big(y(k) - \hat{y}(k)\big), \tag{26}$$

$$\hat{y}(k) = C\hat{x}(k), \tag{27}$$

where the difference of Equation (23) minus Equation (26) results in the estimation error e_x, whose dynamics is given by the Equation (28).

$$e_x(k+1) = Ae_x(k) + LCe_x(k), \tag{28}$$

with, the Equation (29).

$$e_x(k+1) = [A - LC]e_x. \quad (29)$$

4. GPI observer-based controller simulation

This section describes the simulations performed to evaluate the performance of the proposed discrete-time GPI observer-based control applied to a bridgeless boost converter. Two different operation cases are presented and analyzed. Firstly, the tracking of the reference signal, a sinusoidal signal with a nominal frequency of 60 Hz, is analyzed. Secondly, the disturbance rejection, for which a signal is added that simulates the harmonic currents generated by the system in Figure 2 and Figure 3.




Figure 2. Matlab simulink diagram of the system with the addition of disturbance.




Figure 3. Disturbance signal.

5. Results

This section describes the results obtained when evaluating the performance of the proposed discrete-time GPI observer-based control applied to a bridgeless boost converter. Figure 4 and Figure 5 show how the system output is added to the reference when the poles are moved away from zero.




Figure 4. Reference tracking with poles [-0.015 -0.018 -0.02].




Figure 5. Reference tracking with poles [-1.5 -1.75 -2].

Observer poles were selected on the left side of the complex plane for system stability, as shown in Table 1. This shows the decrease in the tracking error of the system, even in the presence of disturbances.

Table 1. Performance evaluation.

#	Observer poles			% Mean square error (Tracking without disturbance)	% Mean square error (Reference tracking with disturbance)
1	-0.015	-0.018	-0.020	31.86 %	33.20 %
2	-0.030	-0.035	-0.040	14.24 %	17.02 %
3	-0.060	-0.070	-0.080	3.98 %	9.71 %
4	-0,120	-0.140	-0.160	2.08 %	7.66 %
5	-0.240	-0.280	-0.320	1.90 %	5.05 %
6	-0.480	-0.560	-0.640	1.88 %	2.83 %
7	-0.960	-1.120	-1.280	1.88 %	2.08 %
8	-1.500	-1.750	-2.000	1.88 %	1.97 %

6. Conclusions

This paper presents a discrete control by a disturbance observer as a possible solution to bridgeless boost AC/DC converter control. The proposed control objective was to reduce the tracking error of the reference signal even in the presence of disturbances. In a possible real implementation, this would reduce the percentage of total harmonic distortion (THD) and power factor correction (PF). The estimate of the disturbance depends on the sampling frequency. As the sampling frequency increases, the degree of the polynomial approximation decreases. For the proposed case, the approximation was of degree 2, and a good performance was obtained.

References

[1] Ye J, Gooi H B, Wang B, Li Y, Liu Y 2019 Elliptical restoration based single-phase dynamic voltage restorer for source power factor correction *Electric Power Systems Research* **166** 199-209
[2] Durgadevi S, Umamaheswari M G 2017 Analysis and design of single phase power factor correction using DC-DC SEPIC converter with bang-bang and PSO based fixed PWM techniques *Energy Procedia* **117** 79-86
[3] Villarejo J A, Sebastián J, Soto F, de Jodar E 2007 Optimizing the design of single-stage power-factor correctors *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* **54(3)**1472-82
[4] De Jesus Kremes W, Font C H I 2016 PWM techniques for a single-phase PFC bridgeless SEPIC rectifier *12th IEEE International Conference on Industry Applications (INDUSCON)* (Curitiba: IEEE)
[5] Regino F J, Gómez J A, Espinel E E 2018 Comparative study of three control techniques for the current loop of a Boost Bridgeless converter *IEEE International Conference on Automation/XXIII Congress of the Chilean Association of Automatic Control (ICA-ACCA)* (Concepcion: IEEE)
[6] Regino-Ubarnes F J, Gómez-Camperos J A, Ruedas-Rodriguez A F 2019 Development of a generalized proportional integral control strategy for level control in a coupled tank system *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **1418** 012016:1-7
[7] Munoz J D, Cortes-Romero J, Esmeral J S, Mendez L M 2015 GPI observer based linear control of the Stewart-Gough platform *IEEE 2nd Colombian Conference on Automatic Control (CCAC)* (Colombia: IEEE)
[8] Ubarnes F R, Blanco E E, Rodriguez A R 2018 Generalized proportional integral control (GPI) design for a ball and beam system *Contemporary Engineering Sciences* **11(90)** 4447-4454
[9] Fernández E, Sala V, Paredes A, Romeral L 2018 Method to reduce THD and improve efficiency in SiC power converter *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)* (Lyon: IEEE)
[10] Ken K M S, Carl N M H 2016 A critical review of bridgeless PFC boost rectifiers with common-mode voltage mitigation *IECON 2016 - 42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society* (Florence: IEEE)
[11] Regino-Ubarnes F J, Modesto-Ochoa E, Vergel-Romero A L 2017 Control proporcional integral generalizado (GPI) para el lazo de corriente de un convertidor AC-DC Boost Bridgeless *Revista Ingenio* **13(1)** 49-56
[12] Coral-Enriquez H, Ramos G A, Cortés-Romero J 2015 Power factor correction and harmonic compensation in an active filter application through a discrete-time active disturbance rejection control approach *American Control Conference (ACC)* (Chicago: IEEE)